



# Building Distributed Systems with Riak Core

Steve Vinoski

Architect, Basho Technologies

Cambridge, MA USA

<http://www.basho.com/>

@stevevinoski

vinoski@ieee.org


<http://steve.vinoski.net/>

# What We'll Cover


- Origins of Riak Core
- Abstractions and Functionality
- Getting started with Riak Core



# 20 Years Ago: Client-Server



# Early-ish Web Apps



# Scaling Up

- Scaling up meant getting bigger boxes
- Worked for client/server and early web apps
- But couldn't keep up with web growth



# Scaling Out

- As businesses went from “having” websites to “being” websites:
- increasing number of commodity boxes
- eventually across multiple data centers



# Scaling Out Changed Everything

- More concurrency, more distribution, more replication, more latency, more consistency issues
- And more operational issues
- As well as more system failures
- While also needing higher reliability and uptime



# CAP Theorem

- A conjecture put forth in 2000 by Dr. Eric Brewer
- Formally proven in 2002
- A distributed system can never completely guarantee these three properties:
  - Consistency
  - Availability
  - Partition tolerance





# Partition Tolerance

- Guarantees continued system operation even when the network breaks and messages are lost
- When—**not if**—a partition occurs, choose between C and A



# Consistency

- Distributed nodes see the same updates at the same logical time
- Hard to guarantee across a distributed system
- **Any** replication introduces consistency vs. latency issues



# Availability

- Guarantees the system will service every read and write sent to it
- Even when things are breaking



# Choosing AP

- Provides read/write availability even when network breaks or nodes die
- Provides eventual consistency
- Example: Domain Name System (DNS) is an AP system



# Example AP Systems

- Amazon Dynamo
- Cassandra
- CouchDB
- Voldemort
- Basho Riak



# PACELC

- Work by Daniel Abadi of Yale University to augment CAP
- When **P**artitioned, trade off **A**vailability and **C**onsistency
- **E**lse
- Trade off **L**atency and **C**onsistency



# Handling Tradeoffs for AP Systems



# Assumptions

- We want to scale out
- We have a networked cluster of nodes, each with local storage
- We're choosing availability over consistency when partitions occur






- Problem: how to make the system available even if nodes die or the network breaks?
- Solution:
  - allow reading and writing from multiple nodes in the system
  - avoid master nodes, instead make all nodes peers



- Problem: if multiple nodes are involved, how do you reliably know where to read or write?
- Solution:
  - assign virtual nodes (vnodes) to physical nodes
  - use consistent hashing to find vnodes for reads/writes



# Consistent Hashing



# Consistent Hashing and Multi Vnode Benefits

- Data is stored in multiple locations
- Loss of a node means only a single replica is lost
- No master to lose
- Adding nodes is trivial, data gets rebalanced minimally and automatically



- Problem: what about availability? What if the node you write to dies or becomes inaccessible?
- Solution: sloppy quorums (as opposed to strict quorums)
  - write to multiple vnodes
  - attempt reads from multiple vnodes




# N/R/W Values

- N = number of replicas to store (on distinct nodes)
- R = number of replica responses needed for a successful read (specified per-request)
- W = number of replica responses needed for a successful write (specified per-request)



# N/R/W Values




- Problem: what happens if a key hashes to vnodes that aren't available?
- Solution:
  - read from or write to the next available vnode (hence “sloppy” not “strict” quorums)
  - eventually repair via hinted handoff





# N/R/W Values



# Hinted Handoff

- Surrogate vnode holds data for unavailable actual vnode
- Surrogate vnode keeps checking for availability of actual vnode
- Once the actual vnode is again available, surrogate hands off data to it



# Quorum Benefits

- Allows applications to tune consistency, availability, reliability per read or write



- Problem: how do the nodes in the ring keep track of ring state?
- Solution: gossip protocol



# Gossip Protocol

- Nodes “gossip” their view of the state of the ring to other nodes
- If a node changes its claim on the ring, it lets others know
- The overall state of the ring is thus kept consistent among all nodes in the ring



- Problem: what happens if vnode replicas get out of sync?
- Solution:
  - vector clocks
  - read repair



- Problem: what happens if vnode replicas get out of sync?
- Solution:
  - vector clocks
  - read repair



# Vector Clocks

- Reasoning about time and causality in distributed systems is hard
- Integer timestamps don't necessarily capture causality
- Vector clocks provide a happens-before relationship between two events





# Vector Clocks

- Simple data structure:  
[ {ActorID, Counter} ]
- All data has an associated vector clock, actors update their entry when making changes
- ClockA happened-before ClockB if all actor-counters in A are less than or equal to those in B



# Vector Clocks are Easy

- Bryan Fink's blog post: <http://basho.com/blog/technical/2010/01/29/why-vector-clocks-are-easy/>
- Explains vector clocks using a dinner invitation example



# Dinner Example

- Alice, Ben, Cathy, Dave exchange some email to decide when to meet for dinner
- Alice emails everyone to suggest Wednesday



# Dinner Example

- Ben and Dave email each other and decide Tuesday
- Cathy and Dave email each other and Cathy prefers Thursday, and Dave changes his mind and agrees



# Dinner Example

- Ann then pings everyone to check that Wednesday is still OK
- Ben says he and Dave prefer Tuesday
- Cathy says she and Dave prefer Thursday
- Dave doesn't answer



# Dinner Example


- Ann then pings everyone to check that Wednesday is still OK
- Ben says he and Dave prefer Tuesday
- Cathy says she and Dave prefer Thursday
- Dave doesn't answer

**Conflict!**



[{Alice, I}]  
Wednesday












[{Alice, I},{Ben, I},{Dave, I}]  
Tuesday





Dave

Cathy

[{Alice, I},{Cathy, I}]  
Thursday



$[\{\text{Alice}, I\}, \{\text{Ben}, I\}, \{\text{Dave}, I\}]$   
Tuesday

Dave

Cathy

$[\{\text{Alice}, I\}, \{\text{Cathy}, I\}]$   
Thursday



[{Alice, 1},{Ben, 1},{Cathy, 1},{Dave, 2}]  
Thursday


Dave

Cathy


[{Alice, 1},{Cathy, 1}]  
Thursday





[{Alice, 1},{Ben, 1},{Cathy, 1},{Dave, 2}]  
Thursday











[{Alice, 1}]  
Wednesday

[{Alice, 1}, {Ben, 1}, {Dave, 1}]  
Tuesday

Ben

Cathy

[{Alice, 1}, {Ben, 1}, {Cathy, 1}, {Dave, 2}]  
Thursday



[{Alice, 1},{Ben, 1},{Cathy, 1},{Dave, 2}]  
Thursday



[{Alice, 1},{Ben, 1},{Cathy, 1},{Dave, 2}]  
Thursday

See: Easy!



# Vector Clocks are Hard

- Justin Sheehy's blog post: <http://basho.com/blog/technical/2010/04/05/why-vector-clocks-are-hard/>



# Vector Clocks are Hard

- Our example shows how vclocks can quickly grow
- Tradeoffs to keep them bounded:
  - mark each entry with a timestamp
  - occasionally drop old entries
  - also trim vclock if too many entries





- Problem: what happens if vnode replicas get out of sync?
- Solution:
  - vector clocks
  - read repair



# Read Repair

- If a read detects that a vnode has stale data, it is repaired via asynchronous update
- Helps implement eventual consistency



# This is Riak Core

- consistent hashing
- vector clocks
- sloppy quorums
- gossip protocols
- virtual nodes (vnodes)
- hinted handoff



# Riak Core Implementation

- Open source
- [https://github.com/basho/riak\\_core](https://github.com/basho/riak_core)
- Implemented in Erlang
- Helps you build AP systems



# Why Erlang?

- Erlang started in the mid-80s at Ericsson Computer Science Laboratories
- Needed a better way to program telephone switches for concurrency, fault tolerance, and hot upgrade
- Erlang released as open source in 1998 ([www.erlang.org](http://www.erlang.org))



# Concurrency with Erlang

- A single Erlang VM instance can support millions of processes
- The VM schedules these onto CPU cores
- Processes communicate via message passing
- No locks, condition variables, etc. makes programming easier



# Reliability with Erlang

- Apps typically consist of numerous Erlang processes (very lightweight threads)
- Some processes supervise others
- If a process dies, its supervisor can restart it
- “Let It Crash” philosophy
- Hot code loading for upgrades and fixes



# Distribution with Erlang

- Messaging primitives the same whether in same VM or different VM, even across a network
- No “extra” packages or libraries needed for distribution, it’s just built in





# Erlang Applications

- Erlang systems are composed of applications
- Erlang provides tools for creating and bundling applications, managing app dependencies
- Numerous apps can run within a single VM
- See rebar, an Erlang project build tool from Basho: <https://github.com/basho/rebar>



# Thanks

